2 3 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON 5 FOR THE COUNTY OF MALHEUR 6 JAMES ARTHUR ROSS, **County Circuit Court** 7 Plaintiff. Case No. 05-08-4630-M 8 vs. * * * FOURTH AMENDED * * * JEAN HILL, FORMAL PETITION FOR Superintendent. POST-CONVICTION RELIEF Snake River Correctional Institution, 10 (ORS 138.510, et. Seq.) 11 Respondent, 12 13 COMES NOW, the above named Petitioner, and respectfully petitions this Court for 14 Post-Conviction Relief pursuant to ORS 138.510 - 138.680, and alleges as follows: 15 16 1. 17 Respondent, JEAN HILL, is duly appointed, qualified and acting superintendent of the Snake River Correctional Institution of Oregon. 18 19 20 2. 21 Petitioner is unlawfully imprisoned and restrained of his liberty by the above named 22 respondent. Petitioner is imprisoned at Snake River Correctional Institution located at 777 23 Stanton Boulevard Ontario, Oregon 97914 – 8335. 24

Page 1 of 21 FORMAL PETITION FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF

3. 1 Petitioner's imprisonment is by virtue of a judgment and sentences imposed by the 2 Douglas County Circuit Court in the case of State of Oregon v. James Arthur Ross. Trial Court 3 Case No. 04CR0429FE; Conviction date: 10th day of June, 2004; Sentencing date: 31st day of 4 August, 2004. 5 6 4. 7 Daniel Bouck represented the Petitioner during his trial court proceedings; Rebecca 8 Duncan represented the Petitioner on direct appeal. 9 10 5. 11 Petitioner was originally charged with the following crimes: 12 Assault in the Second Degree; 13 Kidnapping in the First Degree; Rape in the First Degree; 14 Sodomy in the First Degree Interference with Making a Report. 15 16 6. 17 Petitioner went to trial and after several days at trial entered a pleading of no contest and 18 guilty and was ultimately convicted of: 19 Attempted Aggravated Murder; 20 Rape in the First Degree; Sodomy in the First Degree; 21. Sodomy in the First Degree; Kidnapping in the First Degree; 22 Kidnapping in the First Degree; Assault in the Second Degree; 23 Assault in the Second Degree; Felony Assault in the Fourth Degree; 24

Page 2 of 21 FORMAL PETITION FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF

1	Strangulation; Menacing; and
2	Interference with Making a Report.
3	
4	7.
5	
	The Honorable Stephen Walker presided over Petitioner's trial and sentenced petitioner
6	to:
7	· 120 Months for the Attempted Aggravated Murder plus 36 Months Post-Prison
8	Supervision; consecutive to all others; 90 Months for kidnapping in the First Degree plus 36 Months Post-Prison Supervision;
9	consecutive; 90 Months for kidnapping in the First Degree plus 36 Months Post-Prison Supervision;
10	concurrent to count 2 consecutive to all others; 100 Months for Rape in the First Degree plus 140 Months Post-Prison Supervision;
11	consecutive to counts 1, 2, 3, 5-12; 100 Months for Sodomy in the First Degree plus 140 Months Post-Prison Supervision;
12	consecutive to counts 1, 2, 3, 4, 7-12; 100 Months for Sodomy in the First Degree plus 140 Months Post-Prison Supervision;
13	consecutive to counts 1, 2, 3, 5, 7-12; 70 Months for Assault in the Second Degree plus 36 Months Post-Prison Supervision; consecutive to counts 1-6 and 9-12, but concurrent with count 8;
14	70 Months for Assault in the Second Degree plus 30 Months Post-Fischi Supervision,
15	consecutive to counts 1-6 and 9-12, but concurrent with count 7,
16	. 1 year in jail for Strangulation, concurrent with all others;
17	 1 year in jail for Menacing, concurrent with all others; and 1 year in jail for Interference with Making a Report.
18	The judgment sentenced the Petitioner to a 480 month term of imprisonment with an
19	additional 240 month term of Post-Prison Supervision.
20	additional 240 month term of 1 ost-1 fison super visions
21	8.
22	Documents to support this petition are not included, but will be submitted as evidence
23	pursuant to the Order Regarding Post-Conviction Relief Proceedings entered in this case on
24	pursuant to the Order Regarding Foot Controlled Programme Controlled Pro

Page 3 of 21 FORMAL PETITION FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF

September 8th, 2005. 1 9. 2 Petitioner by and through counsel, alleges that he was denied effective assistance of trial 3 counsel in violation of Article 1, Section 10 and 11 of the Oregon Constitution and the 6th 4 Amendment of the United States Constitution, made applicable to the states by the 14th 5 Amendment to the United States Constitution and Strickland v. Washington, in the following 6 7 manner: a. Same claims as listed under section 11 of this Formal Petition for Post-Conviction 8 Relief. 9 10 10. 11 Petitioner by and through counsel, alleges that he was denied effective assistance of 12 Appellate counsel in violation of Article 1, Section 10 and 11 of the Oregon Constitution and the 13 6th Amendment of the United States Constitution, made applicable to the states by the 14th 14 Amendment to the United States Constitution and Strickland v. Washington, in the following 15 16 manner: a. Same claims as listed under section 12 of this Formal Petition for Post-Conviction 17 Relief. 18 19 11. 20 Petitioner further alleges that he was denied effective assistance of trial counsel and that 21 the convictions and sentences are illegal in violation of Article 1, Section 10, 11 and 15 of the 22 Oregon Constitution and Trujillo v. Maass, and the 1st, 4th, 5th, 6th, 8th, and 14th Amendments of 23 the United States Constitution, made applicable to the states by the 14th Amendment to the 24 Page 4 of 21 FORMAL PETITION FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF

1	United States Constitution and Strickland v. Washington, in the following manner:
2	Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to withdraw from Petitioner's case as requested,
3	resulting in trial counsel remaining as Petitioner's trial counsel, where a competent
4	attorney would have notified the court himself of the conflict and requested to be
5	removed from the case and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
6	Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to file a notice of appeal on the Petitioner's
7	behalf and in fact, in the Petitioner's belief, ill-informed the appellate office by stating
8	that he was satisfied with the outcome of the trial court proceedings, resulting with the
9	petitioner being denied his right to a direct appeal and the petitioner has suffered
10	prejudice as a result.
11	C. Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to make and adequately preserve claims for the
12	Petitioner's direct appeal process and/or appellate process in it's entirety.
13	Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to object to the excessive post-prison
14	supervision that was imposed on the petitioner and the petitioner has suffered
15	prejudice as a result.
16	e. Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to file a motion to quash the indictment and
17	the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
18	
19	
20	•
21	
22	
23	
2	amendment right to effective assistance of counsel as guaranteed to him and the

Page 5 of 21 FORMAL PETITION FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF

petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.

g. Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to motion for an acquittal or a dismissal of the Attempted Aggravated Murder offense against the petitioner as all elements of the offense were not established and for lack of evidence and/or intent to support such an offense and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.

- Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to file a motion to dismiss the Kidnapping in the First Degree charge(s) against Petitioner as all elements and/or intent of kidnapping were not established and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
- i. Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to challenge the indictment for failure to state a difference in the kidnapping charges, counts 2 & 3, which violated defendant's double jeopardy and due process rights of the United States Constitution along with his 6th amendment right to effective assistance of counsel as guaranteed to him and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.

Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to motion for a merger of convictions and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.

Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to motion for a merger of convictions in a multiplicous and undifferentiating indictment and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.

Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to argue that the multiple and undifferentiated charges in the indictment, ultimately ending up in multiple convictions and sentences, inflicted more punishment than justifiable in a single criminal episode and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.

m. Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to file a motion to disqualify the judge based upon the judge's personal knowledge of the facts and/or for being bias, especially at

Page 6 of 21 FORMAL PETITION FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF

1	the sentencing phase where he stated that if the victim would have died, his mind was
2	easily made up to give the petitioner the death penalty, which he would have no
3	authority to impose, because such a sentence would have had to have been handed
4	down from a jury, especially when none of the aggravating facts were proven beyond
5	a reasonable doubt to a jury nor admitted to by the petitioner, but in making such a
6	statement showing his true intent to inflict great and/or cruel and unusual punishment
7	on the petitioner ending up in a grave injustice to the petitioner and the petitioner has
8	suffered prejudice as a result.
9	Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to effectively and/or fully object to and argue
10	that the consecutive sentences imposed by the trial court were unconstitutional, in
11	violation of Blakely, especially when the petitioner had pleaded not guilty to any and
12	all aggravating factors, which the trial court accepted and the petitioner has suffered
13	prejudice as a result.
14	Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to effectively and/or fully object to and/or
15	attack the Petitioner's sentence as to consecutive sentencing issues, Blakely issues,
16	Booker issues, single criminal episode issues, ORS 137.123 issues, and/or
17	sentencing guideline's 200- 400% rules, especially when the petitioner had pleaded not
18	guilty to any and all aggravating factors, which the trial court accepted and the
19	petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
20	Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to submitt evidence that proved against the
21	district attorney's statements tht the victim in this case had a collapsed lung and broken
22	ribs. This statement was used against the petitioner in the interogation room to subtract
23	statements from the petitioner and then again during the trial and then again during the
24	sentencing phase to give the petitioner greater punishment all without any objection

from the petitioner's trial counsel and thus causing ineffective assistance of counsel 2 and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result. of Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to object when the trial court entered into 3 judicial fact finding for aggravating factors when the trial court had already accepted a 4 pleading of not guilty to any and all aggravating factors and thus causing ineffective 5 assistance of counsel and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result. 6 Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to fully explain the plea agreement to the petitioner, thus Petitioner's plea pursuant to the plea agreement was not knowingly, voluntarily and intelligently made. and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result. 9 Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to explain to the petitioner the consequences of 10 pleading guilty and no contest and the presumptive sentence pursuant to the Oregon 11 Sentencing Guidelines Gird, which instead ended up in several consecutive mandatory 12 minimum prison sentences pursuant to Ballot Measure 11 and the petitioner has 13 suffered prejudice as a result. 14 Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to inform petitioner of the serious 15 consequences of a stipulated or open - ended sentence. Trial counsel did not inform 16 petitioner of the right to have a jury decide any fact that would enhance his sentence 17 beyond the prescribed statutory maximum. Had trial counsel informed the petitioner, 18 petitioner would have elected to finish trial and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as 19 20 a result. 1. Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to object to the imposition of Ballot Measure 21 11 as it is unconstitutional and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result. 22 Y. Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to object to prosecutorial misconduct during 23 trial phase as well as during sentencing phase and the petitioner has suffered prejudice 24

1	as a result.
2	Trial counsel was ineffective in telling the petitioner that the plea agreement was
3	different than the actual agreement entered to the court and the petitioner has suffered
4	prejudice as a result.
5	x. Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to adequately argue and fully pursue the
6	Petitioner's rights to bail and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
7	Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to pursue and/or acquire the expert psychiatrist
8	that defendant was requesting in this case by the name of Korr Johnson, which was
9	said to be the only qualified psychiatrist to examine the petitioner by some of the top
10	defense lawyer's in the state and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
11	frial counsel was ineffective in informing the petitioner that there was no valid self
12	defense in Oregon and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
13	Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to raise and argue an ORS 163.325 defense
14	in general and/or in it's entirety and/or in at least to counts 4, 5, and 6 and the
15	petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
16	Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to fully investigate and/or subpoena witnesses
17	including by not limited to:
18	1 Maryella Brown;
19	> 2. Tammy Mendenhall;
20	3. Tammy Dean Mendenhall;
21	4. Peirce Pippen;
22	5. Lydia Pippen;
23	6. Kevin Rucker;
24	7. Ken Madison;

Page 9 of 21 FORMAL PETITION FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF

1	8. Reli (Last liatile dirkowit), vietnii s 5033 at Dan'y Queini,
2	9. Angela Cheridan;
3	10. Ex-Girlfriends.
4	Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to use all witnesses available to him and to let
5	the petitioner know and/or have copies of all the statements made by the witnesses
6	that did come forth and present themselves, who he still ignored and the petitioner
7	has suffered prejudice as a result.
8	Trial counsel was ineffective in coercing the petitioner into making him take a plea
9	agreement and to plea to all counts against him and against his will and best interest
10	and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
11	Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to discuss with the petitioner his competency
12	to stand trial and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
13	Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to argue the Petitioner's competency at the
14	time of the accused offenses took place, in the least, for intent purposes especially
15	when the victim was petitioner wife, the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
16	gg. Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to get the Petitioner's trial moved as it was a
17	highly publicized and political case that affected the Petitioner's rights to a fair trial
18	and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
19	Trial counsel was ineffective when he violated the petitioner's attorney client
20	privileges and caused a breach of contract when he gave statements made by the
21	petitioner, at counsel's request, concerning the case over to the district attorney and
22	the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
23	Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to object to the trial court's abuse of discretion
24	when trial judge decided what was in the best interests of the petitioner and thus

causing ineffective assistance of counsel and violating petitioner's rights to due process of the law and petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.

Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to help the petitioner in obtaining substitute counsel where an effective attorney would have helped and file a motion to withdraw, which he has in fact done in other previous cases and in fact the petitioner asserts that trial counsel did totally the opposite and in fact was laughing at the petitioner in court when he was attempting to have him replaced and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.

Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to object to the trial court's abuse of discretion in ignoring the petitioner's several requests for substitute counsel and failing to conduct an evidentiary hearing on the matter to thoroughly investigate and/or to hear the petitioner out on his claims and accusations as stated in this petition and in the trial memorandum in support of this petition as to the ineffectiveness and conflicts of interest of petitioner's trial counsel, caused ineffective assistance of counsel and in fact made the petitioner proceed to trial without effective assistance of counsel and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.

Trial counsel was ineffective failing to object, motion, file and/or argue for an extension of time when the petitioner told the court that he wished to retain an attorney, after the court stated that they would not give him substitute counsel and inquired that there was in fact someone wanting to retain counsel for the petitioner, and thus not giving the petitioner enough time to obtain such an attorney when the financial funds to obtain such attorney was coming from another country and therefore forcing petitioner to proceed without effective assistance of counsel and petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

nam. Trial counsel was ineffective when counsel and the petitioner had several bad incidents where they had several bad words between each other. One in particular, was when trial counsel stated to the petitioner that he believed he was guilty of all charges and that he would not prepare a defense for him because he believed that petitioner deserved whatever the district attorney wanted to give him. Then the petitioner replied that this is why he did not want him on his case because he was doing nothing but sabotaging the case and that he was fired, although despite petitioner's several attempts to fire his counsel he was unsuccessful. This resulted in a total break in communication between petitioner and his counsel. Petitioner's time was consumed in trying to fire and have his counsel replaced that it left petitioner with no time or legal materials to prepare a defense for himself. Therefore, he was forced to proceed with this counsel, Daniel Bouck, that petitioner had absolutely no faith in, was disloyal, had no defense prepared for him and as far as the petitioner was and is concerned purposely sabotaging his case and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.

pleading involving a not guilty plea to one of the counts and in fact counsel should have asked for a brief recess to re-assure that the petitioner knew what he was doing so that it could be said that he was knowingly willingly and intelligently making the plea and instead the petitioner's counsel told the court that the petitioner obviously did not understand what he was doing and continued to enter the plea that he thought was appropriate and thus causing ineffective assistance of counsel and violating petitioner's rights to due process of law and petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.

1	13 60.	Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to explain and give knowledge to the
2 ~		petitioner concerning the case and charges and/or any defenses available to him and
3		the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
4	pp.	Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to object to the use of less then 7 member
5		grand jury on the indictment and when it was amended and the petitioner has
6		suffered prejudice as a result.
7	gq.	Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to object, motion, file and/or argue that there
8		was an insufficient law library in the county jail for the petitioner to prepare a defense
9		or research his case and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as result.
10	rr.	Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to make sure that the trial transcripts were
11		being properly and thouroughly preserved for the petitioner and thus causing
12		ineffective assistance of counsel and violating the petitioner's rights to due process of
13		the law and petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
14		Trial counsel was ineffective when he continued to override the petitioner's pleading
15		and enter his own plea's, making them for the petitioner, after counsel had stated, on
16	3	the record, that the petitioner did not understand what he was doing in the pleading,
17		thus causing ineffective assistance of counsel and denying petitioner of his rights to
18		due process of the law and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
19	Marki.	Trial counsel was ineffective in obtaining and presenting all evidence available and
20	<i>pay</i>	the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
21	in in	Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to move to suppress the fruits of the search
22		of petitioner's house by police which violated petitioner's 4th amendment rights and
23		also petitioner's statements made to police and the petitioner has suffered prejudice
24		as a result.

Trial counsel was ineffective in failing meaningfully to oppose the prosecution's case 1 and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result. 2 www. Trial counsel was ineffective when he told the petitioner that there was no defenses 3 available to him against the charges and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a 4 5 result. Trial counsel was ineffective when trial counsel told the petitioner that he could not 6 retract his plea's after petitioner realized that he would not be receiving the plea 7 agreement of the 120 months concurrent sentencing and the petitioner has suffered 8 prejudice as a result. 9 Trial counsel was ineffective when there was a conflict of interests when he 10 previously represented the Petitioner's mother and was in fact fired by the Petitioner's 11 mother when he tried to make her admit to things that she did not do and accept a 12 plea bargain for life in prison for murder and refused to help her otherwise, which 13 coincidently is the same type of representation that the petitioner has endured from 14 this counsel with the only difference that Petitioner's mother was able to fire trial 15 counsel Daniel Bouck where as the petitioner was not so fortunate, thus leaving the 16 entire family scared out of their minds knowing what the outcome of the trial would 17 be with the petitioner being represented by this counsel Daniel Bouck, putting more 18 stress, strain and the same if not more scarce on the petitioner with no real options 19 left to him but to proceed with this counsel and suffer the outcomes, which ended up 20

prejudice as a result.

21

22

23

24

with the petitioner pleading out to all of the charges against him with a false

understanding of what he would be receiving as a result of his pleading, where the

Petitioner's true will and intent was to finish trial and the petitioner has suffered

1	
2	12.
3	Petitioner further alleges that he was denied effective assistance of appellate counsel in
4	violation of Article 1, Section 10 and 11 of the Oregon Constitution and Trujillo v. Maass, and
5	the 6th Amendment of the United States Constitution, made applicable to the states by the 14th
6	Amendment to the United States Constitution and Strickland v. Washington, in the following
7	manner:
8	Appellate counsel was ineffective in failing to file a notice of appeal and petitioner
9	has suffered prejudice as a result.
0	b. Appellate counsel was ineffective in failing to investigate Petitioner's case and
l 1	petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
12	Appellate counsel was ineffective in failing to file a brief on Petitioner's behalf and
13	petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
14	d. Appellate counsel was ineffective in failing to file a merits brief on Petitioner's behalf
15	and petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
16	de. Appellate counsel was ineffective in failing to file a Ander brief on Petitioner's behalf
17	and petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
18	Appellate counsel was ineffective in failing to bring to the court's attention "anything
19	in the record that might arguably support the appeal" and petitioner has suffered
20	prejudice as a result.
21	g. Appellate counsel was ineffective when Petitioner was denied to have his case handed
22	over to an appellate attorney and petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
23	h. Appellate counsel was ineffective in assuming the Petitioner's claims were frivolous
24	and petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.

1	i. Appellate counsel was ineffective when they were ill-informed as to the merits of
2	Petitioner's claims by the Petitioner's trial counsel and petitioner has suffered prejudice
3	as a result.
4	Appellate counsel was ineffective in failing to attack the Petitioner's sentences as to
5	consecutive issues, <u>Blakely</u> issues, <u>Booker</u> issues, single criminal episode issues,
6	ORS 137.123 issues and/or sentencing guideline's 200 - 400% rules, especially when
7	the trial court accepted a pleading of not guilty to any and all aggravating factors and
8	petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
9	k. Appellate counsel was ineffective for failing to appeal the courts imposition of
10	multiple undifferentiated convictions in a single criminal episode and petitioner has
11	suffered prejudice as a result.
12	1. Appellate counsel was ineffective in failing to raise a claim as to Ballot Measure 11 as
13	it is unconstitutional and petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
14	m. Appellate counsel was ineffective in failing to raise a claim as to the imposition of
15	consecutive Ballot Measure 11 sentences and petitioner has suffered prejudice as a
16	result.
17	n. Appellate counsel was ineffective in failing to raise a claim as to the trial court's
18	abuse of discretion when trial judge decided what was in the best interests of the
19	petitioner and thus causing ineffective assistance of counsel and/or violating the
20	petitioner's rights to due process of the law and petitioner suffered prejudice as a result
21	© o. Appellate counsel was ineffective in failing to raise a claim as to the trial court's
22	abuse of discretion and/or ineffective assistance of counsel when the trial court
23	accepted a pleading involving a not guilty plea to one of the counts and thus causing
24	ineffective assistance of counsel and/or violating petitioner's rights to due process of

the law and petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.

- p. Appellate counsel was ineffective in failing to raise a claim as to the insufficient law library at the petitioner's county jail for him to prepare a defense and thus causing ineffective assistance of counsel and/or violating petitioner's rights to due process of the law and petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
- q. Appellate counsel was ineffective in failing to obtain much needed documents to properly address an appeal such as the proper case files and trial transcripts and in the least provided the petitioner with said information, thus causing ineffective assistance of counsel and/or violating the petitioner's rights to due process of the law and petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
- r. Appellate counsel was ineffective in failing to raise a claim as to the faulty and "cutup" trial court transcripts making it very difficult and/or impossible for the petitioner
 to thoroughly and fully attack, argue and/or raise and thoroughly exhaust every claim
 possible, causing ineffective assistance of counsel and/or violating the petitioner's
 rights to due process of the law and petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
- Appellate counsel was ineffective in not advising the petitioner of his rights to pursue a direct appeal on his own after counsel refused to pursue an appeal on his behalf and in the least point him in the right direction to do so with the needed information to do so, thus causing ineffective assistance of counsel and/or violating the petitioner's rights to due process of the law and petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
- t. Appellate counsel was ineffective in failing to raise a claim as to the trial court's abuse of discretion when trial court accepted and allowed petitioner's trial counsel to continue to override the petitioner's pleading and enter his own plea's, making them for the petitioner, after the petitioner's trial counsel had stated, on the record, that the

petitioner did not understand what he was doing in the pleading, thus causing ineffective assistance of counsel and/or violating the petitioner's rights to due process of the law and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result

- u. Appellate counsel was ineffective in failing to raise a claim as to the trial court's abuse of discretion when petitioner told the court that he wished to obtain an attorney, after the court stated that they would not give him substitute counsel and inquired that there was in fact someone wanting to obtain counsel for the petitioner, by not giving the petitioner enough time to obtain such an attorney when the financial funds to obtain such attorney was coming from another country and therefore forcing petitioner to proceed without effective assistance of counsel and/or violating the petitioner's rights to due process of the law and petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result.
- v. Appellate counsel was ineffective in failing to raise a claim as to the trial court's abuse of discretion in ignoring the petitioner's several requests for substitute counsel and failing to conduct an evidentiary hearing on the matter to thoroughly investigate and/or to hear the petitioner out on his claims and accusations as stated in this petition and in the trial memorandum in support of this petition as to the ineffectiveness and conflicts of interest of petitioner's trial counsel, caused ineffective assistance of counsel and in fact made the petitioner proceed to trial without effective assistance of counsel and/or violating the petitioner's rights to due process of the law and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result
- w. Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to object when the trial court entered into judicial fact finding for aggravating factors when the trial court had already accepted a pleading of not guilty to any and all aggravating factors and thus causing ineffective assistance of counsel and/or violating the petitioner's rights to due process of the law

1 and the petitioner has suffered prejudice as a result. 2 13. 3 Taken alone or in any combination, the above has caused prejudice to the Petitioner, 4 denied Petitioner of his due process of law and effective assistance of counsel as promised by the State Constitution and the United States Constitution. 5 6 7 14. 8 Taken alone or in any combination, the above has caused prejudice to the Petitioner 9 where he has been subjected to several multiplicious and undifferentiating convictions resulting in a mandatory minimum sentece of 480 months with 240 months post-prison supervision, 10 11 denied Petitioner of his protection against cruel and unusual punishment and his rights to rehabilitation and his due process of law and effective assistance of counsel rights and/or 12 13 birthrights as promised by the Oregon and United States Constitutions. 14 15 WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays for an Order: 16 a. Vacating his convictions and sentences in Douglas County Circuit Court in the case of State of Oregon v. James Arthur Ross, Case No. 04CR0429FE; 17 b. Granting a new trial in Douglas County Circuit Court in the case of State of Oregon v. 18 19 James Arthur Ross, Case No. 04CR0429FE; 20 c. Release Petitioner from custody; 21 d. Grant a delayed direct appeal; 22 e. Remand the case of Douglas County Circuit Court in the case of State of Oregon v. 23 James Arthur Ross, Case No. 04CR0429FE; 24 f. Modify the sentences; and Page 19 of 21 FORMAL PETITION FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF

1	g. Grant such further relief as this Court deems just and proper.
2	
3	
4	
5	DATED this 26th day of October, 2006.
6	
7	
8	Respectfully Submitted By,
9	
10	
11	× AP
12	(Signature) James Arthur Ross SID# 12599830
13	Snake River Correctional Institution 777 Stanton Boulevard
14	Ontario, Oregon 97914 – 8335 (541) 881-4639
15	(341) 881-4039
16	
17	Respectfully Submitted
18	on behalf of James Ross by:
19	$\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{M}$
20	Michael R. Mahony (2/506
21	Attorney at Law \\\\
22	
23	cc: file

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	3. This order shall constitute a final judgment for purposes of appellate review and for
2	purposes of res judicata.
3	
	FOR THE REASONS STATED ON THE RECORD, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT
5	JUDGMENT BE, AND HEREBY IS GIVEN IN FAVOR OF:
6	PLAINTIFF [];
7	DEFENDANT [L.]
8	FOR THE REASONS STATED ON THE RECORD, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT JUDGMENT INCLUDE:
10	INDIGENT ATTORNEY FEES IN THE SUM OF \$;
- 1	and/or
11	COSTS IN THE SUM OF \$1
12	
13	
1	
14	MONEY AWARD
15	Judgment Creditor:
	Judgment Creditor: Judgment Debtor:
15	Judgment Creditor: Judgment Debtor: Amount of Judgment: Court Costs: \$
15 16 17	Judgment Creditor: Judgment Debtor:
15 16 17 18	Judgment Creditor: Judgment Debtor: Amount of Judgment: Court Costs: \$ Attorney Fees: \$ DONE AND DATED this 23rd day of January, 2007.
15 16 17 18	Judgment Creditor: Judgment Debtor: Amount of Judgment: Court Costs: \$ Attorney Fees: \$ DONE AND DATED this 23rd day of January, 2007.
15 16 17 18	Judgment Creditor: Judgment Debtor: Amount of Judgment: Court Costs: \$ Attorney Fees: \$
15 16 17 18	Judgment Creditor: Judgment Debtor: Amount of Judgment: Court Costs: \$ Attorney Fees: \$ DONE AND DATED this 23rd day of January, 2007.
15 16 17 18 19	Judgment Creditor: Judgment Debtor: Amount of Judgment: Court Costs: \$
15 16 17 18 19 20	Judgment Creditor: Judgment Debtar: Amount of Judgment: Court Costs: \$
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Judgment Creditor: Judgment Debtor: Amount of Judgment: Court Costs: \$ Attorney Fees: \$ DONE AND DATED this 23rd day of January, 2007. Honorable Joseph Ceniceros Senior Circuit Court Judge
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Judgment Creditor: Judgment Debtar: Amount of Judgment: Court Costs: \$